

**National Quality Improvement Center
On Differential Response in Child Protective Services**

**Bibliography
(Oct. 6, 2009)**

ACTION Council of Monterey County and Monterey County Department of Family and Children's Services. (2008, June). *Partnership and innovation: A program and data review of P2S in Monterey County*. Salinas, CA: Authors. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from http://mcdses.co.monterey.ca.us/reports/downloads/P2S_PrgamandDataReview_CombinedReport_6-20.pdf

Anselmo, S., Pickford, R., & Goodman, P. (2003). Alberta response model: Transforming outcomes for children and youth. In N. Trocmé, D. Knoke, & C. Roy (Eds.), *Community collaboration and differential response: Canadian and international research and emerging models of practice* (pp. 98-104). Ottawa, Ontario, Canada: Child Welfare League of Canada.

Bagdasaryan, S., Furman, W., & Franke, T. (2008). Implementation of California's differential response model in small counties. [*Protecting Children, 23\(1 & 2\)*](#), 40-56.

Barber, J., & Knoke, D. (2003). Evaluating the implementation of assessment tools in the Australian child protection system. In N. Trocmé, D. Knoke, & C. Roy (Eds.), *Community collaboration and differential response: Canadian and international research and emerging models of practice* (pp. 49-63). Ottawa, Ontario, Canada: Child Welfare League of Canada.

Berrick, J. D., Bryant, M., Conley, A., de Elizalde, L., Garcia, V., & Greer, A. (2008). *Differential response and alternative response in diverse communities an empirically-based curriculum: CALSWEC*. CA: University of California, Berkeley, Center for Child and Youth Policy.

Brubacher, M. D., & Narayan, J. (2003). Community based child welfare services in Guelph and Wellington County. In N. Trocmé, D. Knoke, & C. Roy (Eds.), *Community collaboration and differential response: Canadian and international research and emerging models of practice* (pp. 89-97). Ottawa, Ontario, Canada: Child Welfare League of Canada.

Brunson, L., & Bouchard, C. (2003). Mobilizing communities to prevent child abuse and neglect: A cultural shift in child protection. In N. Trocmé, D. Knoke, & C. Roy (Eds.), *Community collaboration and differential response: Canadian and international research and emerging models of practice* (pp. 75-88). Ottawa, Ontario, Canada: Child Welfare League of Canada.

Cameron, G., Freymond, N., & Roy, C. (2003). Avenues for positive innovations in Canadian child welfare: Lessons from the Partnerships for Children and Families Project and international jurisdictions. In N. Trocmé, D. Knoke, & C. Roy (Eds.), *Community collaboration and differential response: Canadian and international research and emerging models of practice* (pp. 14-31). Ottawa, Ontario, Canada: Child Welfare League of Canada.

Carpenter, C. (2007, Spring). Alternative response. *Children, Families, and the Courts: Ohio Bulletin*, 3(3), 1-13. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://www.americanhumane.org/assets/docs/protecting-children/PC-AR-Ohio-child-law-bulletin.pdf>

Casey Family Programs. (2007). Implementing differential response in California: Promising practices and lessons learned. Seattle, WA: Author. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from http://www.casey.org/Resources/Publications/BreakthroughSeries_DifferentialResponse.htm

Center for Child and Family Policy. (2004, April). *Multiple response system (MRS) evaluation report to the North Carolina Division of Social Services (NCDSS)*. Raleigh, NC: Sanford Institute of Public Policy, Duke University.

Center for Child and Family Policy. (2006, June). *Multiple response system (MRS) evaluation report to the North Carolina Division of Social Services (NCDSS)*. Raleigh, NC: Sanford Institute of Public Policy, Duke University.

Child and Family Policy Institute of California. (2006). *Child welfare services system improvements: 11 county pilot implementation evaluation: Initial assessment phase, July 2003 to June 2006*. Sacramento: California Department of Social Services, Children and Family Services Division. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from http://www.cfpic.org/children/pdfs/11_County_Eval_Phase1.pdf

Child Welfare Information Gateway. (2008). *Differential response to reports of child abuse and neglect*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from http://www.childwelfare.gov/pubs/issue_briefs/differential_response

Child Welfare League of America. (2007, September). *Alternative response systems: Learning tool 13*. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://www.friendsnrc.org/download/ars.pdf>

Chiple, M., Sheets, J., Baumann, D., Robinson, D., & Graham, J. C. (1999). *Flexible response evaluation*. Austin: Texas Department of Protective and Regulatory Services.

Christenson, B., Curran, S., DeCook, K., Maloney, S., & Merkel-Holguin, L. (2008). The intersection between differential response and family involvement approaches. [*Protecting Children, 23\(1 & 2\)*](#), 88-95.

Clavel, G., Cadieux, L., & Roy, C. (2003). The inclusive approach of the Outaouais Centres Jeunesse. In N. Trocmé, D. Knoke, & C. Roy (Eds.), *Community collaboration and differential response: Canadian and international research and emerging models of practice* (pp. 112-118). Ottawa, Ontario, Canada: Child Welfare League of Canada.

Comer, D. (2008, November). [*The six principles of partnership: A foundation for differential response*](#). Presentation at the American Humane Association Conference on Differential Response, Columbus, OH.

Comer, D. P., & Vassar, D. (2008). Six principles of partnership: Building and sustaining system-wide change. [*Protecting Children, 23\(1 & 2\)*](#), 96-104.

Conley, A. (2007). Differential response: A critical examination of a secondary prevention model. *Children and Youth Services Review, 29*, 1454-1468.

Conley, A., & Duerr Berrick, J. (2008). Implementation of differential response in ethnically diverse neighborhoods. [*Protecting Children, 23\(1 & 2\)*](#), 30-38.

Connecticut Department of Children and Families. (2009). *CT DCF differential response system (DRS) status of work matrix*. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from http://www.ct.gov/dcf/lib/dcf/drs/pdf/drs_status_of_work_matrix.pdf

Connolly, M. (2005). Differential responses in child care and protection: Innovative approaches in family-centered practice. *Protecting Children, 20*(2 & 3), 8-20.

Connolly, M. (2007, November). *Practicing for outcomes: Differential response model, New Zealand style*. Presentation at American Humane's Conference of Differential Response, Long Beach, CA. [PowerPoint available at <http://www.americanhumane.org/protecting-children/programs/differential-response/past-conferences-events.html> Retrieved from <http://www.americanhumane.org/assets/docs/PC-DRM-keynote-presentation-ppt>]

Costello, T. (1998). *West Virginia Family Options Initiative, Final pilot evaluation report*. Unpublished manuscript.

Dudding, P. (2003). Foreword. In N. Trocmé, D. Knoke, & C. Roy (Eds.), *Community collaboration and differential response: Canadian and international research and emerging models of practice* (pp. 32-48). Ottawa, Ontario, Canada: Child Welfare League of Canada.

English, D., Fluke, J. D., & Yuan, Y-Y. T. (2003). Alternative response to child protective services investigations in the United States. In N. Trocmé, D. Knoke, & C. Roy (Eds.), *Community collaboration and differential response: Canadian and international research and emerging models of practice* (pp. 64-74). Ottawa, Ontario, Canada: Child Welfare League of Canada.

English, D. J., Wingard, T., Marshall, D., Orme, M., & Orme, A. (2000). Alternative responses to child protective services: Emerging issues and concerns. *Child Abuse & Neglect, 24*(3), 375-387.

Foxcroft, D., & Blackstock, C. (2003). USMA: Cherished ones, precious ones, the children: A First Nations approach to child, family, and community well-being. In N. Trocmé, D. Knoke, & C. Roy (Eds.), *Community collaboration and differential response: Canadian and international research and emerging models of practice* (pp. 105-111). Ottawa, Ontario, Canada: Child Welfare League of Canada.

Hardin, M. (1996, Winter). Responsibilities and effectiveness of the juvenile court in handling dependency cases. *The Future of Children, 6*(3), 111-125. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from http://www.princeton.edu/futureofchildren/publications/docs/06_03_08.pdf

Huebner, R. A. (2005, August 21). *Program evaluation of the multiple response system: Kentucky*. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://www.americanhumane.org/assets/docs/protecting-children/PC-MRS-eval-KY.pdf>

Huebner, R. A., Durbin, L., Brock, A. (2009, April 3). *Program evaluation of the multiple response system Kentucky Department for Community Based Services*. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://www.americanhumane.org/assets/docs/protecting-children/PC-DR-ky-evaluation.pdf>

Institute of Applied Research. (2004, February). *Alternative response research in Missouri, Minnesota, and Virginia*. Presentation to California CPS practitioners, Saint Louis, MO.

Institute of Applied Research. (2005, April). [*Effectiveness and costs of the new paradigm: Alternative response in Minnesota*](#). Presentation at the 15th National Conference on Child Abuse and Neglect, Boston, MA.

Johnson, C., Sullivan Sutton, E., & Thompson, D. M. (2005). Child welfare reform in Minnesota. [*Protecting Children, 20\(2 & 3\)*](#), 55-60.

Jones, H., Chant, E., & Ward, H. (2003). Integrating children's services: A perspective from England. In N. Trocmé, D. Knoke, & C. Roy (Eds.), *Community collaboration and differential response: Canadian and international research and emerging models of practice* (pp. 119-131). Ottawa, Ontario, Canada: Child Welfare League of Canada.

Kaplan, C., & Merkel-Holguin, L. (2008). Another look at the national study on differential response in child welfare. [*Protecting Children, 23\(1 & 2\)*](#), 5-21.

Kaplan, C., & Schene, P. (2008, November). *Primer on differential response: Take two!* Presentation at the American Humane Association Conference on Differential Response, Columbus, OH. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://www.americanhumane.org/assets/docs/protecting-children/PC-DR-conf-presentation2008.pdf>

Kaplan, C., Shannon, C., & Loman, T. (2008, December). *What a difference a year makes: Charting the past, present & future of the Ohio alternative response project*. Presentation before the Subcommittee on Child Abuse, Neglect, and Dependency, Columbus, OH.

Kirk, R. S. (2008). Development and field testing of a family assessment scale for use in child welfare practice settings utilizing differential response. [*Protecting Children, 23\(1 & 2\)*](#), 71-87.

Lohrbach, S., Sawyer, R., Saugen, J., Astolfi, C., Schmitt, K., Worden, P., et al. (2005). Ways of working in child welfare: A perspective on practice. [*Protecting Children, 20\(2 & 3\)*](#), 93-100.

Loman, L. A. (2005, May). *Differential response improves traditional investigations: Criminal arrests for severe physical and sexual abuse*. St. Louis, MO: Institute of Applied Research. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://www.americanhumane.org/assets/docs/protecting-children/PC-DR-severe-abuse-MO.pdf>

Loman, L. (2007, November). [*Poverty, child neglect, and differential response*](#). Presentation at the American Humane Association Conference on Differential Response, Long Beach, CA.

Loman, L. A., & Siegel, G. L. (2004, February). *Differential response in Missouri after five years: Final report*. St. Louis, MO: Institute of Applied Research. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://www.iarstl.org/papers/MODiffResp2004a.pdf>

Loman, L. A., & Siegel, G. L. (2004, November). *Minnesota alternative response evaluation: Final report*. Saint Louis, MO: Institute of Applied Research. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://www.iarstl.org/papers/ARFinalEvaluationReport.pdf>

- Loman, L. A., & Siegel, G. L. (2005). Alternative response in Minnesota: Findings of the program evaluation. *Protecting Children, 20(2 & 3)*, 78-92.
- Marts, E. J., Lee, E.-K. O., McRoy, R., & McCroskey, J. (2008). Point of engagement: Reducing disproportionality and improving child and family outcomes. *Child Welfare, 87(2)*, 335-358.
- Maryland Department of Human Resources. (2008). *Child welfare operating budget analysis*. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from http://mlis.state.md.us/2009RS/budget_docs/All/Operating/N00B - DHR_Social_Services.pdf
- Maryland Department of Legislative Services. (2008). *Maryland General Assembly fiscal and policy note: House bill 262*. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from http://mlis.state.md.us/2008rs/fnotes/bil_0002/hb0262.pdf
- Merkel-Holguin, L., Kaplan, C., & Kwak, A. (2006, November). *National study on differential response in child welfare*. Englewood, CO: American Humane and Child Welfare League of America. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://www.americanhumane.org/assets/docs/protecting-children/PC-DR-national-study2006.pdf>
- Michigan Department of Human Services. (2008). *Children's protective services investigation process*. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from http://www.michigan.gov/dhs/0,1607,7-124-5452_7119_7194-159484--,00.html
- Minnesota Department of Human Services. (2005, April 4). *Minnesota's child welfare report for 2003* (Bulletin #05-68-03). Saint Paul, MN: Author. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from http://www.dhs.state.mn.us/main/groups/children/documents/pub/dhs16_141408.pdf
- Monterey County Department of Family and Children's Services. (2006). *Differential response: Phase I: A report on the Monterey County differential response planning process*. Salinas, CA: Author. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from http://mcdses.co.monterey.ca.us/reports/downloads/DR_Report_Final.pdf
- North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services. (2004). *North Carolina's multiple response system of child protective services*. North Carolina Division of Social Services, Family Support and Child Welfare Section. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://www.ncdhhs.gov/dss/mrs/docs/MRSReport2004.pdf>
- Office of Children's Administration Research. (1998). *Alternative response systems evaluation progress report*. Seattle, WA: Unpublished report.
- Office of Children's Administration Research. (1999). *Alternative response systems evaluation progress report*. Seattle, WA: Unpublished report.
- Office of Children's Administration Research. (2000). *Alternative response systems evaluation progress report*. Seattle, WA: Unpublished report.
- Office of Children's Administration Research. (2005). *Alternative response systems program progress report*. Seattle, WA: State of Washington Department of Social and Health Services, Children's Administration Practice Improvement Division, Office of Children's Administration Research.

O'Neill Murray, K., & Gesiriech, S. (2008). *A brief legislative history of the child welfare system*. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://pewfostercare.org/research/docs/Legislative.pdf>

Ortiz, M. J., Shusterman, G. R., & Fluke, J. D. (2008). Outcomes for children with allegations of neglect who receive alternative response and traditional investigations: Findings from NCANDS. *Protecting Children, 23*(1 & 2), 57-70.

Provincial and Territorial Directors of Child Welfare. (2003). New directions in child welfare. In N. Trocmé, D. Knoke, & C. Roy (Eds.), *Community collaboration and differential response: Canadian and international research and emerging models of practice* (pp. 1-13). Ottawa, Ontario, Canada: Child Welfare of Canada.

Richardson, J. (2008). *Differential response literature review*. Urbana, IL: University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Children and Family Research Center, Office of the Research Partnership, School of Social Work.

Ryan, K. M. (2007). *Differential response: Supporting families in crisis*. New Jersey State League of Municipalities. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://www.njslom.com/featart0407.html>

Schene, P. (2001, Spring). *Meeting each family's needs: Using differential response in reports of child abuse and neglect*. Best Practice, Next Practice: Family-Centered Child Welfare. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://www.americanhumane.org/assets/docs/protecting-children/PC-DR-using-reports.pdf>

Schene, P. (2005). The emergence of differential response. *Protecting Children, 20*(2 & 3), 4-7.

Schene, P., & Kaplan, C. (2007, November). *Getting started with differential response: Fundamentals and first steps*. Presentation at the American Humane Association Conference on Differential Response, Long Beach, CA. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://www.americanhumane.org/assets/docs/protecting-children/PC-DR-conf2007.pdf>

Schene, P., & Oppenheim, S. (2005, Summer). Choosing the path less traveled: Strengthening California families through differential response. *What Works Policy Brief*. Sacramento, CA: Foundation Consortium for California's Children and Youth. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://www.californiafamilyresource.org/PDFs/Choosing%20the%20Path%20Less%20Traveled%20Strengthening%20CA%20Families%20through%20Differential%20Response.pdf>

Shusterman, G. R., Fluke, J. D., Hollinshead, D. M., & Yuan, Y-Y. T. (2005). Alternative responses to child maltreatment: Findings from NCANDS. *Protecting Children, 20*(2 & 3), 32-42.

Shusterman, G. R., Hollinshead, D., Fluke, J. D., & Yuan, Y-Y. T. (2005, July). *Alternative responses to child maltreatment: Findings from NCANDS*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/05/child-maltreat-resp/report.pdf>

Shusterman, G., & Ortiz, M. J. (2008, November). *Using quantitative methods to guide qualitative research in differential response*. Presentation at the American Humane Conference on Differential Response in Child Welfare, Columbus, OH.

Siegel, G. L., & Loman, L. A. (1997, November). *Missouri family assessment and response demonstration: Final evaluation report*. St. Louis, MO: Institute of Applied Research. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://www.iarstl.org/papers/MO%20FAR%20Final%20Report-for%20website.pdf>

Siegel, G. L., & Loman, L. A. (2000, January). *The Missouri family assessment and response demonstration impact evaluation: Digest of findings and conclusions*. St. Louis, MO: Institute of Applied Research. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://www.iarstl.org/papers/MoFamAssess.pdf>

Siegel, G., & Loman, L. (2002). *Minnesota alternative response demonstration project after one year: Executive summary*. St. Louis, MO: Institute of Applied Research.

Siegel, G., & Loman, L. (2003). *Minnesota alternative response demonstration project after two years: Executive summary*. St. Louis, MO: Institute of Applied Research.

Siegel, G. L., Loman, L. A., Cline, J., Shannon, C., & Sapokaite, L. (2008, November). *Nevada differential response pilot project: Interim evaluation report*. St. Louis, MO: Institute of Applied Research. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://www.iarstl.org/papers/Nevada%20Differential%20Response%20Pilot%20Project-Interim%20Report%20November%202008.pdf>

Sphere Institute. (2006, January 31). *Implementing differential response: An assessment of community organizations' capacity and interest*. Burlingame, CA: Author. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from http://www.sphereinstitute.org/publications/DR_Report_Final.pdf

Thompson, D., Siegel, G. L., & Loman, L. A. (2008). The Parent Support Outreach Program: Minnesota's early intervention track. *Protecting Children*, 23(1 & 2), 23-29.

Trocmé, N., & Chamberland, C. (2003). Re-involving the community: The need for a differential response to rising child welfare caseloads in Canada. In N. Trocmé, D. Knoke, & C. Roy (Eds.), *Community collaboration and differential response: Canadian and international research and emerging models of practice* (pp. 32-48). Ottawa, Ontario, Canada: Child Welfare League of Canada.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and Children's Bureau. (2003, April). *National Study of Child Protective Services Systems and Reform Efforts: Review of State CPS Policy*. Washington, DC: Author. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/cps-status03/state-policy03/chapter5.htm>

Vermont Department for Children and Families. (2007, November 1). *Report and recommendations to the legislature: Act 77, an act relating to the child abuse registry and sex offender registry requirements*. Waterbury, VT: Author. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://dcf.vermont.gov/sites/dcf/files/pdf/fsd/portChildAbuseRegistryandSexOffenderRegistry.pdf>

Virginia Department of Social Services. (2006, December 15). *Evaluation of the differential response system*. Richmond, VA: Author. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from http://www.dss.virginia.gov/files/about/reports/children/cps/all-other/2006/differentialresponsesystem_annualreport_2006.pdf

Virginia Department of Social Services. (2007, December). *Evaluation of the differential response system*. Richmond, VA: Author. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from http://www.dss.virginia.gov/files/about/reports/children/cps/all-other/2007/differentialresponsesystem_evaluation_annualreport_2007_12-07.pdf

Virginia Department of Social Services. (2008, December). *Evaluation of the differential response system*. Richmond, VA: Author. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from http://www.dss.virginia.gov/files/about/reports/children/cps/all-other/2008/differentialresponsesystem_evaluation_annualreport_2008_12-08.pdf

Waldfoegel, J. (1998, Spring). Rethinking the paradigm for child protection. *The Future of Children*, 8(1), 104-119.

Walter R. McDonald & Associates, Inc. (2001, March). *National study of child protective services systems and reform efforts: Literature review*. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/protective01>

Walter R. McDonald & Associates, Inc. (2003). *National study of child protective services systems and reform efforts: Site visits report*. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/CPS-status03/site-visits/index.htm>

Washington State Department of Social & Health Services. (2008, March). *Report to the legislature: Consideration of a differential response in Washington State's child protection system*. Olympia, WA: Author.

Weiden, T., Nutter, B., Wells, L., & Sieppert, J. (2005). *Alberta response model implementation evaluation phase 1: Baseline data*. Alberta, Canada: University of Calgary, Centre for Social Work Research and Professional Development.

Weiser, B., & Dreitzer, D. (2008, November). *Partnerships and pitfalls: Nevada differential response program*. Presentation at the American Humane Association Conference on Differential Response, Columbus, OH. Retrieved October 2, 2009, from <http://www.americanhumane.org/assets/docs/protecting-children/partnerships-and-pitfalls.pdf>

Wheeler, C. E., & Johnson, S. (2003). Evaluating family group decision making: The Santa Clara example. *Protecting Children*, 18(1 & 2), 65-69.

Yuan, Y-Y. T. (2005). Potential policy implications of alternative response. [*Protecting Children*, 20\(2 & 3\)](#), 22-31.

Zielewski, E. H., Macomber, J., Bess, R., & Murray, J. (2006). *Families' connections to services in an alternative response system*. Washington, DC: Urban Institute.